



technical support for engineering projects. He agreed to get back to the group regarding where there are plans for important bikeway signage in rural areas and stated it is likely related to the County's bikeway map.

Mr. Reeves requested that the outlying areas be notified in advance of planned projects so they can provide input. He mentioned how it would have been helpful to have been notified prior to the restriping of Welches Highway as it would have alleviated them losing space for walkers; Ms. DeBruin concurred with Bob's request. Ms. Hansen noted examples of situations where they would like advance notice including but are not limited to land use projects, timber sales, grants, bridge building, and roadwork projects.

Ms. DeBruin noted the great need of a park-n-ride between Estacada and Eagle Creek for those that live near the mid-point.

Mr. Reeves stated that areas available for parking on the mountain do not have proper lighting.

Mr. Reeves asked to confirm with Mr. McCallister if the Scenic Byway- Tourism grant was deemed official as of Monday. Mr. McCallister noted he would check with Jeannine Breshears in Tourism and get back to Mr. Reeves. Mr. McCallister mentioned that there may be limited signage on Highways 26, 35, and 84, and noted it would be helpful for planning and/or engineering to hear from folks for 2012 as to where they think improved/additional bike/pedestrian signage would be beneficial.

It was noted that Hamlets and Villages would appreciate advance notice of projects in the County including applications for grants and feasibility studies as effected outlying communities should have an opportunity to weigh in.

Mr. Jones stated it is disconcerting that a "community plan" has been on the shelf for 2.5 years at the County in the review process. There was group consensus that grant money spent on a feasibility study that sits on a shelf for years is not a good use of public funds.

Mr. McCallister talked about the recent flooding on Mt. Hood where a great deal of time and resources has been spent. He also noted that although they experienced flooding, it was not actually considered a flooding "event." He described the new group formed called the Interagency Flood Response Group, which is comprised of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), Oregon Division of State Lands, DEQ, NOAA Fisheries Service, and multiple divisions in Clackamas County. The ACOE is working towards writing a general permit for Sandy River/Autumn Lane residents and they are looking into remapping the flood plain.

Mr. McCallister commented that zoning ordinance changes will go into effect May 31, 2011. Ms. Heublein suggested that C-4 schedule a speaker to review code enforcement updates.

### 3. Complete Communities Event Update

Mr. Jones introduced the Complete Communities Congress which is being held on May 18<sup>th</sup>, 2011, at the Clackamas Community College Gregory Forum. He commented that the formation of the Hamlets and Villages are a direct result of Complete Communities. Commissioner Savas noted the agenda is still being formulated and that the theme is “best practices.” Commissioner Savas stated the Complete Communities Congress may want to restructure their quarterly meetings to be more interactive and that he was looking for ideas/suggestions. He noted the May 18<sup>th</sup> meeting is the first time they have held a Congress on an evening rather than on a Saturday. Commissioner Savas noted this is actually the last funded Complete Communities Congress and that Cogan Owen Cogan has been the facilitator.

### 4. Area Commission on Transportation (ACT) Update & Strategy Discussion

The group discussed the Area Commission on Transportation (ACT) hitting a roadblock, and they discussed how the State of Oregon is requiring participation from neighboring counties in order to form an ACT.

Commissioners Lininger and Savas noted that the Board of County Commissioners plans to reach out to Hood River County Commissioners to bring them to the table. It was noted that Hood River County does not have as active a public involvement process as Clackamas County. Commissioner Lininger noted Senator Thomsen is going to try to help that happen and that, although our outreach efforts may involve several months, once Hood River County is on board we fully expect the State of Oregon’s approval of an ACT.

### 5. Other

Commissioner Savas noted a new development regarding the Stafford/Borland study. Metro has stated that the at best it would be a 30-day study, which is not as extensive a study as previously thought. Therefore the County is rethinking its ask of Metro. There was discussion on how this would be best communicated to the Stafford Hamlet.

Ms. Heueblin asked what the County is doing as far as collaborative efforts, and Commissioner Lininger responded that there have been great efforts made to coordinated traffic congestion answers regarding the McLoughlin Park Place area.

Mr. Wild invited the C-4 members to email him regarding any issues that they would like him to bring to executive committee,

Mr. Reeves noted there has been a request for a non-cities member to be on the executive committee, and that Gary Schmidt will bring this item up at the retreat in September.

The group noted that, as stakeholders, they would appreciate being brought into discussions early-on in order to provide feedback. Commissioner Lininger stated it can be brought to the attention of Steve Wheeler, Cam Gilmour and Gary Barth to communicate better with local community groups.

Mayor Carson of Canby noted his disappointment that Canby had not been recognized for any transportation project funding, and that all funding is going to Sunnyside, Gladstone, and Milwaukie, and he also noted there are no bike lanes in Canby. Mayor Carson mentioned that he has voiced his concerns to Cam Gilmour that cities on the outskirts such as Molalla and Canby are not receiving funding for much needed road work, and that all the funding is being spent in Gladstone and Milwaukie. Commissioner Lininger noted their deep need, and how having an ACT in place would be helpful.

Mayor Neely of Oregon City noted he is an alternate on C-4, but plans to attend and observe the non-Cities meetings because Oregon City abuts the rural areas.

Mayor Neely talked about the Rivers Project, and noted that Urban Renewal is currently in negotiations with Center Cal, and that an Urban Renewal District is split with an even vote

Commissioner Lininger gave a brief overview of the SoloPower request.

Commissioner Savas reviewed future agenda items including:

- Advance notice -- vacuum of staff not communicating
- Rural transportation ACT
- Legislative update – including special districts
- Code enforcement changes
- Briefing on funding sources for projects outside of UGB
- Intelligence for signaling

Ms. Heublein requested that C-4 hold an executive session to discuss whether the new C-4 process is working.

Mayor Neely suggested that at the quarterly meetings, C-4 groups should deal with things that more jointly tie the two groups together.

Ms. DeBruin asked that the C-4 minutes actually reflect the discussion of what the cities group talked about rather than being as minimal as they were last month.

Ms. Anderson noted that, at the cities discussion earlier that evening, they talked about having a representative from LOC come to the July meeting to talk about changes to annexation laws.