



Lynn Peterson
Chair

Commissioners
Bob Austin
Jim Bernard
Charlotte Lehan
Ann Lininger

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

PUBLIC SERVICES BUILDING
2051 KAEN ROAD | OREGON CITY, OR 97045

AGENDA

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2009 - 10:00 AM BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Beginning Board Order No. 2009-108

I. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION *(The Chair of the Board will call for statements from citizens regarding issues relating to County government. It is the intention that this portion of the agenda shall be limited to items of County business which are properly the object of Board consideration and may not be of a personal nature. Persons wishing to speak shall be allowed to do so after registering on the blue card provided on the table outside of the hearing room prior to the beginning of the hearing. Testimony is limited to three (3) minute. Comments shall be respectful and courteous to all.)*

II. DISCUSSION ITEMS *(The following items will be individually presented by County staff or other appropriate individuals. Citizens who want to comment on a discussion item may do so when called on by the Chair.)*

~NO DISCUSSION ITEMS SCHEDULED

III. CONSENT AGENDA *(The following Items are considered to be routine, and therefore will not be allotted individual discussion time on the agenda. Many of these items have been discussed by the Board in Study Session. The items on the Consent Agenda will be approved in one motion unless a Board member requests, before the vote on the motion, to have an item considered at its regular place on the agenda.)*

A. Department of Human Services

1. Approval to Apply for a Grant from the National Quality Improvement Center on Early Childhood (QIC-EC) to Provide Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention Research and Demonstration Project for the Healthy Start Program – OCF
2. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Oregon Department of Consumer & Business Services, Senior Health Insurance Benefits Assistance (SHIBA) to Support the Volunteer Connection's SHIBA Program in Providing Information, Counseling and Assistance to Seniors and Other Medicare Recipients on Health Insurance Matters – SSD

B. Finance Department

1. Approval to Purchase Five (5) 11-13 Yard Freightliner Dump Trucks from McCoy Freightliner for the Transportation Maintenance Division

C. Elected Officials

1. Approval of Previous Business Meeting Minutes – BCC

D. Business & Community Services

1. Board Order No. _____ Approving Amendment #3 of the Clackamas County Parks Priority Parks Projects for the 2006 Metro Parks and Open Spaces Natural Areas Bond Monies - PARKS

IV. DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

1. Acceptance of the Clackamas County Development Agency Fiscal Year 2008-09 Annual Financial Statement

V. COMMISSIONERS COMMUNICATION

NOTE: Regularly scheduled Business Meetings are televised and broadcast on the Clackamas County Government Channel. These programs are also accessible through the County's Internet site. DVD copies of regularly scheduled BCC Thursday Business Meetings are available for checkout at the Clackamas County Library in Oak Grove by the following Saturday. You may also order copies from any library in Clackamas County or the Clackamas County Government Channel.

<http://www.clackamas.us/bcc/business/>



COPY

Cindy Becker
Director

Department of Human Services

Public Services Building

2051 Kaen Road #239 Oregon City OR 97045

October 22, 2009

Board of Commissioners
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

Approval to Apply for a Grant from the National Quality Improvement Center on Early Childhood (QIC-EC) to Provide Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention Research and Demonstration Project for Healthy Start Program Services

The Office for Children and Families Division (OCF) of the Department of Human Services requests the approval to apply for a Grant from the National Quality Improvement Center on Early Childhood (QIC-EC) to provide Healthy Start Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention research and Demonstration Project. This is a nationally competitive grant that if awarded will provide three years of funding. Project goals are to generate new knowledge that contributes to prevention of child abuse and promotion of family strengths, child development, maltreatment prevention research and evaluation methodology. This will also promote collaborations and collective problem solving, support knowledge dissemination to practitioners and policy makers and integrates new knowledge that results in sustainable change into the Clackamas County child abuse prevention system.

The total amount of the grant will be \$375,000 per year for 3 ½ years for a total potential award of \$1,250,000. One new position will be created as a result of this grant to meet increased evaluation requirements.

Recommendation:

We recommend the approval to apply for this grant and further recommend the acceptance of the award if funded, and that Cindy Becker be authorized to sign all documents necessary to accomplish this action on behalf of the Board of Commissioners.

Respectfully submitted,

Cindy Becker
Director

For information on this issue or copies of attachments
Please contact Deanna Mulder at (503) 650-5675

Phone: (503) 650-5697 • Fax: (503) 655-8677 www.clackamas.us



COPY

Cindy Becker
Director

Department of Human Services

Public Services Building

2051 Kaen Road #239 Oregon City OR 97045

October 22, 2009

Board of Commissioners
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services, Senior Health Insurance Benefits Assistance (SHIBA) to Support the Volunteer Connection's SHIBA Program in Providing Information, Counseling and Assistance to Seniors and Other Medicare Recipients on Health Insurance Matters

The Social Services Division of the Department of Human Services requests approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services, Senior Health Insurance Benefits Assistance (SHIBA) Program to support the activities of the Volunteer Connection's SHIBA Program in providing information, counseling and assistance to seniors and other Medicare recipients on health insurance matters.

The Volunteer Connection program of Clackamas County Social Services has operated the SHIBA program for several years. This program is designed to educate seniors and other Medicare recipients about their rights, resources and needs relating to their Medicare and other health insurance. The program provides education through the fraud hotline and at large group presentations. In addition, information is made available during public outreach events, such as the Clackamas County Fair and Medicare enrollment events. Information presented has included preventing Medicare fraud, identity theft and do-not-call registration. These services are invaluable to our senior and disabled citizens and provide a much-needed resource for our most vulnerable populations.

Total amount of the agreement is \$8,000. This agreement was reviewed by County Counsel on October 12, 2009. No County General Fund dollars are involved. The agreement begins April 1, 2009 and continues through March 31, 2010. This agreement was just received from the State on Oct 12th.

Recommendation

We recommend the approval of this agreement and that Cindy Becker be authorized to sign on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners.

Respectfully submitted,

Cindy Becker
Director

For information on this issue or copies of attachments
Please contact Brenda Durbin at (503) 655-8641



LANE MILLER
MANAGER

PURCHASING DIVISION

PUBLIC SERVICES BUILDING

2051 KAEN ROAD | OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Board of County Commissioners
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

**Approval to Purchase Five (5) 11-13 Yard Freightliner Dump Trucks
From McCoy Freightliner**

The Clackamas County Department of Transportation and Development, Transportation Maintenance Division requests approval to purchase five (5) 11-13 yard dump trucks to include full hydraulics and mounts for plows and sanders. This equipment is being purchased off of an existing City of Vancouver agreement. This purchase was requested by Samuel Irving, Jr., Operations Manager, Transportation Maintenance Department.

The dump trucks are used for all aspects of maintenance operations, to include; hauling asphalt, rock, dirt and debris as well as snow plowing and sanding. They will replace five trucks that are at the end of their useful lives and in terms of safety, and maintenance costs.

This Permissive Cooperative Procurement complies with ORS 279A.215 and qualifies for an exemption from formal competitive bidding under LCRB Rule C-046-0430; Contracts for the purchase of goods or services where competitive Offers for the same goods or services have been obtained by any other public agency which subscribes to the basic intent of ORS Chapter 279. This purchase has been reviewed by Fleet Services.

The cost for each dump truck is \$ 145,048.00, for a total purchase amount of \$ 725,240.00. Funds for this purchase have been budgeted in FY 2009/2010 under line 215-2410-00-485520.

Recommendation

Staff respectfully recommends that the Board give approval to the Department of Transportation and Development, Transportation Maintenance Division to purchase five (5) 11-13 Yard Freightliner dump trucks. Total purchase amount not to exceed \$ 725,240.00.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dan Nenow, C. P. M.
Purchasing Staff

For information on this issue or copies of attachments
please contact Sam Irving Jr. at 503-650-3467.

Placed on the Agenda of Oct. 15, 2009 by the Purchasing Division

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS BUSINESS MEETING MINUTES

A complete video copy and packet including staff reports, of this meeting can be viewed at <http://www.clackamas.us/bcc/business/>

Thursday, September 10, 2009 – 10:00 AM

Public Services Building

2051 Kaen Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

PRESENT: Commissioner Lynn Peterson, Chair
Commissioner Bob Austin
Commissioner Jim Bernard
Commissioner Charlotte Lehan
Commissioner Ann Lininger

I. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION - NONE

II. DISCUSSION ITEM

~The following item was continued from Tuesday, September 8, 2009 Special Public Hearing – no Public Comments will be taken at today's meeting~

This item has been transcribed verbatim.

1. Resolution No. **2009-93** Discussion and Recommendation on Areas Suitable for Urban and Rural Reserve (Doug McClain, Maggie Dickerson, Dan Chandler)

Lynn Peterson:

I just want to let folks know that we have one large discussion item today and that is the Urban and Rural Reserve. It is not a public hearing and it is not open for discussion. We have closed the public comment as of Tuesday evening when we had our marathon public hearing where we heard a lot of good comments and, so we'll have a lot of discussion today on a final recommendation that isn't final. It's a next step in the process. So with that, I'll open it up to any citizen communication for those are here for a non-agenda item and seeing nobody rushing forward and I don't have anybody having filled out a blue card, we will move on. So we'll move on to the first discussion item and, both Doug McClain and Maggie Dickerson are going to come up and I think we're going to try to have you guys in front of us so we can see you directly, rather than off to the side which will be a lot easier. Okay. so this part of the process we, of course, had a lot of citizen communication and, a lot of work already done on this by a lot of different citizen groups. I just wanted to go through what's already been done on this in terms of person power. Clackamas County Reserves Policy Advisory Committee had 21 members, seven from cities, seven from CPO's and Hamlets and seven from other interest groups. They had 22 meetings in 16 months and a lot of us went to one or more of those meetings but attended the PAC regularly. They were each about 2½ hours long and there were 55 hours of meetings which is 1100 hours of meetings for the members so there's been a lot of discussion of this and I think we're just narrowing it down to the final issues and hot spots which is always the hardest. There have been more than 450 people total public attendance at the PAC meetings and there are more than 300 letters, reports, maps and other documents that have been given to the PAC members for their review, as well as to us. So a lot has been going on and we very much appreciate all of that. So really what's next is that we will be forwarding a recommendation for further consideration to METRO, to the Core 4, and that is not a final decision. There's a lot of work that still needs to be done and I think that through our conversations today, we're going to recognize where we need further work. So with

that, I'm going to turn it over to Doug McClain and he's going to walk us through, kind of like we did yesterday. Some of each individual area and what came out of yesterdays' meeting and we can certainly have discussion about whether that's the direction we want to give for the final map and I'm assuming that everyone in the audience does have a map to follow along which should be useful. All right, Mr. McClain.

Doug McClain:

Thank you Chair Peterson and Commissioners, and thanks for the summary too of the work that's been done by the Policy Advisory Committee and the Clackamas County Planning Commission, who also forwarded a recommendation to you. Just to amplify what you've said, it's impossible to overestimate the sacrifice those people have made on their own time. There is not only the meeting hours but, as you noted a tremendous amount of information that's been submitted for their review and consideration so, again, it's an appropriate time to say thanks to that group, and to the Planning Commission for their hard work.

As you've indicated, what we are attempting to do today is to take this very high tech map and go through the individual areas. As noted in the key, what's in front of you is the Policy Advisory Committee's majority polling recommendation for Urban and Rural Reserves and just be clear, the areas that are gold are the suggested areas for Urban Reserves; the areas that are green are suggested for Rural Reserves. And we've had a lot of public testimony and you worked hard yesterday to come up with, I guess some areas that we'll walk through now and talk about so let's just start going from, west to east, generally, as we did yesterday. We can follow the same format and we'll talk about it. What I'm going to do is try and summarize what I think, we talked about yesterday and, the conclusions that we made for each of the areas and then I'll let you fill in the blanks.

Charlotte Lehan:

Yes, I just wanted to clarify here that, in many areas we have big swoopy lines, which indicates the preliminary nature of this as a recommendation because eventually things, all these lines will come down to lot lines so all of the edges, unless there's a natural feature like a river or a bluff or something that makes it clear that there's the edge, the lot lines will change going forward. I mean the edges will change and be more in line with lot lines rather than these big swoopy things that...

McClain:

Thanks for that clarification because we'll be using terms like blobs and clumps and caps and so, it's technical planning terms. Okay, let's get started. Let me start first with the three outlying cities, the neighboring cities, and briefly to explain, the facts for those cities. This process – and those will be the cities of Canby, Molalla, Estacada and actually Sandy so I guess we call four cities. Factually what's going on with this particular process is that the designation of Urban Reserves, applies only to the Metro area, the Metro Urban Growth Boundary area so we are not as a part of this process designating Urban Reserves for those four neighboring cities. In one case, Sandy, they already have an Urban Reserve that was established several years ago. We are, however, or may, establish Rural Reserves around those cities. The practical consequence of doing that is that cities will not be able – those outlying cities would not be able to expand their Urban Growth Boundary or establish Urban Reserves in areas that we have designated as a part of this process as a Rural Reserve. So that translates into a discussion of attempting to provide those cities with a buffer as it were, an area where they may consider future expansion and at the same time recognize our intent to provide Rural Reserve certainty for farm land and forest and important natural features. So, that's the background. Now to the particulars.

You've heard testimony – let's start with, the City of Molalla. Originally when the study area was established, the City of Molalla asked that we leave an area on the north out of the study area and the Board of County Commissioners and the Regional Steering Committee did that so the area – there is an area north of the City of Molalla that is not within the study area and consequently, not designated at all, either as a Rural Reserve so they have a built-in buffer already and the area around that buffer is foundation farm land so our suggestion was to provide a half-mile doughnut as it were, around the northern part of the City of Molalla. I'll stop there and see if there's any discussion.

Peterson:

Comments, questions? No, okay.

McClain:

Okay, and then moving to the City of Estacada and we talked about this quite a bit yesterday. The area that you see, that's cross-hatched, again we're recommending be designated as a Rural Reserve. Practically speaking that's McIver Park, the area around the Clackamas River, which, as you remember, you've identified the significance of the Clackamas River and the need to protect it where possible. The one area that we talked about but left largely unresolved is the area to the north. We did recognize the City's desire to have a parcel, an area in the northwest corner that Maggie's illustrating, be left undesignated so it is left undesignated at this time and then the other question is whether or not to apply a Rural Reserve further to the north of the property and potentially maybe along the highway. But at this time, that's an issue that I think that we would put in the "to be decided later" category so what we'd forward at this time is, as you've seen on the map, an area of Rural Reserve just on the western side of Estacada. So, I'll stop there.

Peterson:

That would be one of the first things that kind of needs to go in the hopper, on the other side of this, more communication with...

McClain:

...more discussion...

Peterson:

More discussion to further refine, okay.

McClain:

Right. Okay, let's move to Canby. The City of Canby had provided testimony, actually in several different instances, both the Policy Advisory Committee, they had a member on the Policy Advisory Committee. You see a fairly large area northeast of the City that they've asked be undesignated, and its – that's reflected in the Policy Advisory Committee's, recommendation. It's the white space at the northwest of the City of Canby. That's the area that they're primarily interested in future expansion. It's a fairly large area frankly and I think, again, in that discussion hopper that you've identified is the question of whether or not, the City needs all of that area on a 50-year as a buffer for 50 years. I think it is important to remember that even if the area is undesignated, it won't necessarily be easy to establish an Urban Reserve in that area or to expand the Urban Growth Boundary. Much of that area does have foundation farm land and the existing rules make it difficult to establish either an Urban Reserve or a – expand the Urban Growth Boundary in that area so it doesn't necessarily have the effect of establishing an Urban Reserve in that area, it just

leaves it as a potential. That part didn't really seem to be the issue in this case. The real issue was a request by the City to extend also an area as undesignated in the northwest portion between Holly and Maple Avenue, almost all the way to the river. Their letter said to 34th Avenue but that's almost to the river and Maggie do you have the other map...

Maggie Dickerson:

I do – and the Commissioners have the other map.

McClain:

And the question we wrestled with yesterday at the work session is, how much, if any, expansion should occur and when we looked at aerial photos and other information, the Board suggested that, 22nd Avenue would be a sort of good place for the northern extension. As it turns out, 22nd Avenue is the current boundary of the Urban Growth Boundary so, I think the question at least at this time is whether or not you want to go beyond that at all, or just at this time at least, not leave it as the PAC had recommended and leave that area as a Rural Reserve, recognizing that we can have further discussions with the City.

Peterson:

I'm sorry, so it would be undesignated or Rural Reserve?

McClain:

It would remain Rural Reserve as the Policy Advisory Committee had recommended. When you looked at it yesterday, you said, you know 22nd Street looks like a good northern boundary just looking at the aerial photo and the current use of properties in that particular area. As it turns out, that's where the current Urban Growth Boundary is.

Peterson:

Yes.

Lehan:

But not the City limit.

McClain:

But not the City limits, that's right.

Peterson

Any comments, suggestions?

Lehan:

I would just leave it where the PAC has it until we have further discussion because it's clearly very productive farm land and foundation Ag land and, it's unlikely to be employment land because of where it is in Canby. It's likely to be Residential and that's a bigger hurdle for me to go into foundation Ag land with, with Residential because Residential can more easily adapt to other land.

Peterson:

Okay, any other comments? Commissioner Bernard.

Jim Bernard:

You're suggesting that the area to the east of Maple just remain as a Rural Reserve.