

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
1	8/10/2015	Joyce Parker, Secretary; Clackamas County Pomona Grange #1	Email (8/10/2015). Attached Resolution of Grange (7/25/2015): Opposes marijuana grow in rural residential areas; requests BCC to put an OPT OUT referendum on Nov. 3, 2016 ballot to give rural residential voters the opportunity to opt out of having grow sites and processing sites within rural areas of Clackamas County. (2 pages)
2	8/19/2015	Shirley Morgan; Citizens for Public Safety, Quality of Life, Property Values	Executive Summary (no date) about need to recognize public health and safety impacts of marijuana legalization. Information submitted includes Clackamas County rural precinct vote tally on M91; letters from Colorado agency reps; six case studies of impacts of grow and processing facilities (Boring; Sandy; Beavercreek/ Clackamas; and Deschutes and Josephine Counties). (47 pages)
3	8/24/2015	Dave Morgan	Email (8/24/2015). Encourages Board to <u>not</u> allow unrestricted production and processing of marijuana on Ag/Forest lands that are less than ten acres. (1 page)
4	10/01/2015	James Nice	Email (10/01/2015). Supports draft regulations, recommends <u>no</u> marijuana production/processing within one mile of Hwy 26, and supports "opt-out" option. (1 page)
5	9/21/2015	Peter Sansone	Email (9/21/2015). Requests Board to consider rules for marijuana processors. Attached draft rules prepared by OLCC Rules and Advisory Committee (RAC) from a Sept. 2015 meeting. (9 pages)
6	10/05/2015	Thomas Boyd	Email (10/04/2015). Endorses proposed amendments to regulate marijuana businesses in his area, an R10 zone. Proposes adding language to require such businesses to comply with rules for home-based businesses. (1 page)
7	10/05/2015	Dyann Peterson	Email (10/04/2015). Opposes allowing growing marijuana in area along SE Brooks Road, an RRF-5 area, in Boring. (1 page)
8	10/05/2015	Steve Hilde	Email (10/05/2015). Opposes allowing growing marijuana in the area near Sandy. His residence is at 42245 SE Coleman Rd, Sandy, in RRF-5 zone. (1 page)
9	10/06/2015	Kim Tinker	Email (10/05/2015). Opposes allowing growing and processing of marijuana in the rural area southeast of Sandy due to devaluing property, security issues, use of limited water sources, and detrimental effects of pesticide and herbicide usage. Marijuana uses should be in industrial zones. Residence is at 22041 SE 442 nd , RRF-5 zone, and adjacent to EFU and TBR zones. (1 page)

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
10	10/06/2015	Ed Mura	Email (10/01/2015). Commented on two greenhouses at 25251 S. Elwood Rd (FF-10 zone) which house an "industrial marijuana grow." Concerned about noise of exhaust fans, odor, and safety issues. Requests Board to consider these issues in the land use rules. (2 pages)
11	10/06/2015	Vincent Sliwoski	Email/letter to Board (09/28/2015). Speaking "on behalf of industry participants," opposes development standards proposed for marijuana production and processing in the RRFF-5 and FF-10 zones. Attached 2015 permit information for 29450 SE Lariat Lane (RRFF-5 zone; former equine facility converted to medical marijuana grow). (10 pages)
12	10/7/2015	Peter Sansone	Email proposes alternatives for the following standards. For minimum yard depth: certain types of existing agriculture buildings that are closer than 100' to neighboring property line would be grandfathered. For odor: alternative means to filter and eliminate all odors and particulates; proposed fan and filtering size is unreasonable. For lighting: limit light emission to between "sunrise to sunset" timetables (NOAA). For water: permit exempt sources of water to be used. Attached Oregon Water Law excerpt. (3 pages)
13	10/7/2015	Amy Margolis	Opposes limiting marijuana vending facility to within the UGB "where law enforcement is better equipped [County Code Sections 8.09.040(B)(1)]." States, "There is no evidence linking crime rates ... to marijuana dispensaries." Other requirements are in place for surveillance, distance from schools and daycare facilities. Dispensaries create jobs, spend money locally, promote tourism, and generate tax revenue.
14	10/9/7/2015	Jan Johnson	Neighbor is "way over the legal limit of marijuana plants; they "do not have a medical grow permit;" 6' high board-to-board fence; offensive odor invades her house so she has to close doors and windows. (1 page)
15	10/13/2015	Ramona Notz	Lives in EFU zone; opposes adding marijuana production, growing, processing, wholesaling, retailing or dispensing in her farm community. (1 page)
16	10/13/2015	Peter C. Wight	Does not support or favor any marijuana-related activities in the County. (1 page)
17	10/15/2015	Lloyd Griffin	Email to the BCC. Confused by proposal to limit medical marijuana growing to no more than 12 mature plants per address; proposes that policy permits OMMP rules as they are currently written -- to grow for 4 patients even not residing at grower's address. (1 page)

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
18	10/15/2015	Lila Reed	Email. Has two-acre parcel zoned EFU; concerned that grow operation could be adjacent to them; could lower property value. Wants a policy protecting existing owners' property values; proposes that a grow site be located at least 10 miles from any existing municipality. (1 page)
19	10/15/2015	Peter Sansone	Copy of email to Katherine Daniels (DLCD) requesting opinion on proposed Section 841.03 (100' setback), 841.03 (water supply). 3 attachments: Guide to Recreational Marijuana in EFU zones; definition of nuisance or trespass; attorney fees and costs. (8 pages)
20	10/17/2015	Sue Browne	Email. Concerned about adjacent marijuana grow and inability to find out if it is an authorized medical grow facility. Want to be able to know status of production & processing sites with OHA and OLCC. Believes it affects property value; that current grower is a violation of 841.03. Urges strong enforcement. (1 page)
21	10/19/2015	William A Berdan	Letter to Planning & Zoning. Marijuana farm on EFU land currently licensed under OHA & OMMP. Carbon filtration removes odor. Concerned about and proposes changes to some proposed standards: the lot is smaller than the required 5 acres; the barn is less than 100' from property line. Site plan and photos are attached. (10 pages)
22	10/12/2015	Shirley Morgan	Draft of testimony to be given 10-26-15: Concerned about: impacts of marijuana in EFU land without land use review; 100' setbacks are too small; removal of large numbers of trees; illegal pond excavation. Proposes no marijuana wholesaling in RC and RTC zones on Hwy 26. Attached maps of rural communities; news article about smoke shop in Hoodland; excerpt of Oregon marijuana regulations. (4 pages)
23	10/15/2015	Shirley Morgan	Email to BCC. Follow-up information to previous emails. Lists time, place and manner concerns about wholesaling provisions of Oregon marijuana law. Includes excerpts from HB 3400 and M91. 3 attachments: HB 3400, M91, and updated draft of testimony to be delivered on 10-26-15. (174 pages)
24	10/14/2015	Kathrine R. Martin	Email. Are neighborhood covenants and restrictions allowed to limit marijuana activities? If not, they should be. (1 page)
25	10/14/2015	Shirley Morgan	Email to BCC. Follow-up information to previous email. Opposed to marijuana's being permitted outright as an agricultural product. Several attachment: description and photos of an equestrian center in Boring that has been converted to a grow site; testimony on negative impacts on property value, information letters from Planning Director; op-ed piece on

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
			property value impacts; description & photos of grow operations near Bend, in Washington state, in Beaver Creek, Colton, Grants Pass; OMMP Growers by ZIP Codes; letter from Denver District Attorney on impacts of marijuana; email from Colorado Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area re why CO does not permit outdoor growing of recreational marijuana; article by Shirley Morgan about impacts of marijuana legalization. (54 pp)
26	10/21/2015	Wesley Row	Email. Opposed to land use regulations permitting production, processing and/or wholesaling of marijuana in Clackamas County rural zones. Attracts crime and stresses resources. (1 page)
27	10/21/2015	Sue Browne	Email. Rural areas, where law enforcement and fire protection are a minimum, should have the same consideration regarding production /grow and processing facilities as those living in less rural areas. (1 page)
28	10/22/2015	Katherine Moore	Email. Forbid marijuana-related activities on her Recreational Residential zoned land or the RR area around it. (1 page)
29	10/23/2015	Bill Neuwerth	Email. Currently has medical marijuana grow operation with measures to reduce impact to neighbors (filter, fans, minimum traffic). Concerned that he would not be in compliance with proposed regulations. (1 page)
30	10/23/2015	Robert Morris	Email and attachment from www.whitehouse.gov : <i>The Public Health Consequences of Marijuana Legalization</i> . (3 pages)
31	10/24/2015	Clifford Spencer	Email. Mr. Spencer, founder of a co-op assisting people in residential care facilities and on end of life care with medical marijuana, is concerned that proposed amendments go beyond time, place and manner per HB 3400. Proposed code addresses medical and recreational aspects with same broad approach, such as same equipment and property requirements for a 200 sq. ft. OMMA garden as a 10,000 sq. ft. recreational facility. Noncommercial OMMA gardeners cannot afford this. Problems with OMMA gardens could be mediated instead of broad approach. Proposes that Subsection 841.03(H)(3) be amended to permit renters, lessors and LLC's, and make person responsible for the garden be responsible for compliance with ordinance. Opposes Subsection 841.03(H)(2) [locating productions/processing entirely within enclosed building]: many low-income rural patients grow a year's supply outdoors - requiring buildings would be too expensive. Opposes Subsection 841.03(B) [access on a public road or exclusive easement]; this restriction is not necessary for a small OMMA garden. (3 pages)
32	10/24/2015	Linda Cody	Email. Little concern about growing in rural areas; does have

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
			concern about processing and retail sale. Traffic, odor, noise, would impact quiet, clean area east of Estacada. In that area a former nursery with multiple greenhouses was sold to grow marijuana. Now no-trespassing signs have changed the friendly feel of the area. Also concerned about devaluation of their property. (1 page)
33	10/25/2015	Karen Hill	Email. Does not want marijuana grown, processed or sold anywhere, let alone in her (AGF) neighborhood (1 page)
34	10/26/2015	Jo Becker	Email. Against any growing, selling, distribution or recreational marijuana in Clackamas County. The decision should not be revenue driven; potential addiction and related problems; roads are more dangerous with drivers on marijuana. (1 page)
35	10/26/2015	Justin Page	Email. His company owns RRF-5 "commercial" [sic] property that has gone unused for over a decade; he is considering an indoor production & processing facility. The property is less than 2 acres so wouldn't meet proposed 5-acre minimum. Believes minimum lot size is excessive given OLCC rules for security and building improvements. Additional acreage will not increase security. OLCC rules seem to prohibit a cannabis business from also being a residence; if so, then that is in direct conflict with County proposal. This is not required for any other industry and would not increase security. Requiring so many resources from a business encourages more out of state investment and domination of Oregon's market. Do not give more power to out of state investors. (1 pg)
36	10/14/2015	Shirley Morgan	Update of previous draft testimony to be delivered 10-26-15 (3 pages plus duplicates of attachments that were previously sent).
37*	10/26/2015	Victor Dunton	Hearing/written testimony: Opposed to grow operations in Mulino area. (1 pg)
38*	10/26/2015	David Morgan and Susan Tate	Hearing/written testimony: Small acreages in AF/F zones should be regulated the same as small acreages in RRF-5 and FF-10 zones. (5 pp)
39*	10/26/2015	Marie and George Gassner	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes production and sale of marijuana. (1 pg)
40*	10/26/2015	Braxton Creef, Cannalogix Foundation	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes proposed regulations that would limit & control medical marijuana the same as recreational marijuana. Recommends delaying new rules for medical marijuana for further discussion. (1 pg)
41*	10/26/2015	Mike Hickey	Hearing/written testimony: Supports growing commercial marijuana in the EFU zone. (1 pg)
42	10/26/2015	Bradley Steinman	Email. Represents one of the registered medical marijuana

* Exhibits received during hearing
 ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
 *** Oversized exhibits

**EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254**

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
			growers in the county. Opposes proposed regulations that impact medical marijuana production and processing. (6 pp)
43*	10/26/2015	Bernard Merrill	Hearing/written testimony: Concerned that proposed regulations apply only where there are more than 12 mature plants; 12 plants affect neighborhood livability. (2 pp)
44*	10/26/2015	Jean Roberts	Hearing/written testimony: Recommends revote on marijuana in Nov. 2016. Opposes marijuana growing, processing, wholesaling or retailing in rural residential, rural or rural tourist commercial areas. (1 pg)
45*	10/26/2015	Rocky Roberts	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes marijuana growing, processing, wholesaling or retailing in rural residential, rural or rural tourist commercial areas. Should refer Opt Out option to voters. (1 pg)
46*	10/26/2015	Laura Underwood	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes any medical or recreation marijuana grow, processing, wholesaling or retailing in rural residential communities. Cites issues with activities in her area. (2 pages)
47*	10/26/2015	Shirley Morgan	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes marijuana growing, processing, wholesaling or retailing in rural residential, rural commercial or rural tourist commercial area, or along Hwy 26 in Mt. Hood area. Comments on safety, quality of life and property value issues. (2 pages)
48*	10/26/2015	Sarah Bennett	Hearing/written testimony: Currently has an indoor, medical marijuana facility and is concerned that proposed rules will make them lose their facility. Rules should allow for some medical marijuana facilities on 2 to 5 acres, and within buildings. (2 pages)
49*	10/26/2015	Gerrick Latta	Hearing/written testimony: Recommends that rules make clear distinction between medical and recreational marijuana facilities. Provided pictures of impact of proposed setback lines. (5 pp)
50*	10/26/2015	Kathleen Zinno	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes regulations that could limit or possibly eliminate medical marijuana production. (1 pg)
51*	10/26/2015	Peter Sansone	Hearing/written testimony: Opposes proposed regulations as unreasonable restrictions on marijuana related actions. (2 pp)
52	10/26/2015	Bryan Garfinkel	Email. Thinks that anyone permitted to produce marijuana should be able to process it. Recommends regulations to support processing of marijuana crops, instead of banning processing in certain zones. (1 pg)
53	10/27/2015	C.D. Walpole	Email. Supports allowing a discrete marijuana dispensary to provide what Oregon law allows. (Brightwood area). (1 pg)
54	10/27/2015	Kurt Kessler	Letter to BCC (dated 10/26/2015). Opposes any marijuana processes east of the City of Sandy. (1 pg)

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
55	10/28/2015	Brad Troutner	Email. Opposes 100-ft. setback. Recommends defining different setbacks for three categories: air-tight buildings; greenhouses; open-air growing. (2 pp)
56	10/27/2015	Commissioner Ludlow	Letter to Rebecca Bunting re Commissioner's support to allow dispensaries only in the metro urban area. (1 pg)
57	10/27/2015	Kevin	Email. Operates a summer camp. Recommends restricting retail locations also in relation to camps. (1 pg)
58	10/28/2015	Tanya Stricker	Email. Opposes all marijuana related activities in RRF-5. Small acreages are insufficient to deal with security and other issues. (1 pg)
59	10/28/2015	havencottage@canby.com	Email. Opposes all marijuana related activities in rural area due to insufficient law enforcement, safety and criminal element. (1 pg)
60	10/28/2015	Steve Chianillo	Email. Disappointed in meeting on 10/26/2015. (1 pg)
61	20/27/2015	Bruce and Martha Webb	Email. Concerns with impacts of outdoor production as already experienced where they live. Proposes that either a Type III permit be required for production on parcels less than 20 acres, or require production to be indoors, even in AG/F zone. Re proposed section 841: if no county permit is required in AG/F zone, then how will requirement for water right be tracked? If no county permit is required in RRF-5 or FF-10 zones, how will the county confirm that the required noise study is completed? (2 pp)
62	10/27/2015	Rose Briggs	Letter. Questioned whether medical marijuana groups had determined how marijuana may hinder judgment and cause impairment, whether there is a legal limit on drivers, and about sales to minors. (1 pg)
63	10/29/2015	Jeff Simonson	Email. He has licensed medical marijuana facility, and has questions about proposed requirements for signatures of all users of shared easement. Proposes ways to resolve issues with neighbors who have indentified impacts of the facility. (2 pp)
Comments #64 and higher were received after Exhibit packet assembled for the PC hearing on Nov. 2, 2015			
64	10/30/2015	Frank Elmer	Email. Recommends changing rules on water usage: require application for water rights only if water needs of the marijuana use exceed a certain number of gallons per day. (1 pg)
65	10/30/2015	Tyson Lewis	Email. Opposes the 100-ft. yard setback and proposes different setbacks for open air grows and for indoor operations which can be controlled for noise, odor and lighting. (1 pg)
66	10/30/2015	Bill Neuwerth	Email. Opposes the 5-acres minimum, the proposed 100-ft. and 200-ft. setbacks, and the 5,000 sq. ft. limit on production area [note: a state requirement]. Supports carbon filter system, 50 decibel noise restriction and light restrictions. (3 pages)

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

**EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254**

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
67	10/30/2015	Justin Bearden	Email. Opposes 100-ft. minimum yard depth in RRF-5 and FF-10 zones. (1 page)
68	11/2/2015	Janey & D. Christopher Oke	Email. Opposes the reduction in number of plants permitted for OMMP. Describes their current OMMP grow operation as odor free. Proposes exempting all legal marijuana grow operations from land use regulations. (1 page)
69	10/30/2015	Cindy Zimmerman	Email. The decision about where marijuana is grown should be left to the voters. Does not want a grow operation 100 feet from her property; concerned about water usage in the rural area and about decrease in property values. (1 page)
70	10/30/2015	Tyson Lewis	Email. Proposed 100 feet minimum yard depth does not distinguish between indoor and outdoor grows; too large for indoor grows. Should be different setbacks for indoor and outdoor grows. Proposes 50' setback for indoor grows that control odor, noise. (1 page)
71	11/2/2015	"Mr. Fox"	Email with 2 attachments: Attachment 1 references 18 US Code 2384 about seditious conspiracy; 9-131.000 The Hobbs Act; definition of property; theft by extortion; theft by deception; theft in the first degree; ORS.646.725 (Prohibited acts) 646.535 (Unfair trade practices prohibited; "restraint of trade," and interference with a contract. (8 pages)
72	11/1/2015	Shannon Hansen	Email. Main concerns are smell, excessive water use, potential contamination of water and soil, public safety, regulation and enforcement, and devaluation of adjoining properties.(2 pages)
73	11/1/2015	David Tooze	Email. Cultivation and processing should not take place within 500' of an existing residential or commercial structure; 5,000' from a public or private school, education facility, community center, day care or house of worship. Retail sales should have design standards, green + symbol less prominent, paved off-street parking. (1 page)
74	11/2/2015	Nick Layton	Email. RE 100' and 200' setback – suggests that a grower get written permission from the neighbor to grow closer than the required yard depth.
75	11/2/2015	Andrew Peters	Mail. Very concerned about pollution caused by grow operations. His military experience included criminal investigations related to drug (marijuana) problems. Supports good, solid "opt out" areas to have freedom from drugs. (1 page)
76	11/2/2015	Rocky Roberts	Rural resident. Supports proposed 100' (or 200') setback; comments that there are difference in location standards for retail marijuana and liquor; opposed to recreational retail outlets

- * Exhibits received during hearing
- ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
- *** Oversized exhibits

**EXHIBIT LIST
ZDO-254**

<i>Ex. No.</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Author or source</i>	<i>Subject & Date of document</i>
			operating 8 a.m. to 10 pm. Other comments that are not related to land use: new state rules are confusing; illegal behavior is rewarded with “grandfather” clauses; law enforcement will have difficulty protecting us. (2 pages)
77	11/2/2015	Braxton Creel, Cannalogix Foundation	<p>Proposes solutions to potential impacts on Clackamas County OMMP growers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building permit: Multi-Trade/Craft Building Permit to address electrical, mechanical, building, with 2nd, final inspection coinciding with crop prior to harvest to insure odor issues are addressed. To apply to current grows & must be w/in one year of passage. • Zoning Restrictions – OMMP per ORS 475.320; however outdoor grows to be limited to 5 or more acres, or greenhouses w/ adequate odor control + approval of neighbors for <0.5 acre site and >2 OMMP grow cards, or shared access. • Residency Requirement – OMMP carded resident on-site unless zoning does not allow dwellings or overnight stays; if not owner of property, obtain written permission from at least one owner. • Nuisance Complaints -- Violation pays \$50.00 per day civil penalty until violation is corrected. • Grandfathering of Existing Grows – All grows must be permitted under the new permit process; however, grows in production at time new law takes effect will be exempt. Rights will not transfer to a new owner unless permits are secured and upgrade to current standards and code. • Light/Noise Pollution – Light from greenhouses not permitted to be visible outside after dusk. Fan noise limited to reasonable decibel level; any noise level that exceeds dryer or range hood limited to 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. in populated areas or neighborhoods. <p>Building permits and violations revenue would support code compliance officer; growers and patients could care for other patients; close neighbors would have some say about their environment; noise and light pollution would be controlled. (2 pp)</p>
78	11/2/2015	John Massimilla	Hearing/written testimony. Opposes rules that could negatively affect medical marijuana production and so devastate hundreds of lives. Believes proposed rules will only facilitate big money and corporate interests. (1 page)
79	11/2/2015	Aaron Burns	Aerial photo with notation of home and surrounding neighbors. Several homes share and maintain Old Well Rd., a one-way road

* Exhibits received during hearing
 ** Exhibits received during open record after hearing
 *** Oversized exhibits

